







United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/484,516	01/18/2000	Sameer Halepete	TRANS34	9779	
75	90 12/31/2003	EXAM	EXAMINER		
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP TWO NORTH MARKET STREET THIRD FLOOR			MYERS, PAUL R		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE, CA	A 95113		2112	b . l	
			DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003	3 24	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

&C



UNITED Start DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTOR	NEY DOCKET NO.
greek a gent door het da bed verdet het een voor en en top op door an anvende verdet het dat			. ,	en tradition of the control of the c
ang kabupatèn mengangkan kebagai kebag Banah Manahasan Mana			EXA	MINER
	A Committee of the comm	San		a triple of the second
Na porting Report of the Conference and a particular and a particular particular and a pa	North Committee	Lagrania No. 18 meter	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
and the control of th	gelen de en lette en	A SECTION OF THE SECT	• .	
ung Palatan kebulah di kebiatan perbada Merekalan di kebiatan Perbada Palatan Merekalan di kebiatan Perbada Perbada	i an hii dipta a inte <u>itabil</u>	D. RVIEW SUMMARY	ATE MAILED:	en de la comparte de participation de la comparte d La comparte de la comparte del la comparte del la comparte de la comparte del la comparte de la comparte del la comparte de la comparte de la compart
All participants (applicant, applicant) (1) RON POMOR	tis et discue et et at dalla aftit ele-	nel): haris in a translation with a terminal and the second secon	001	And the course to the course of the course o
(2) ANTHORY MU	RABITO	(4) RAHUL PAT-	er.	
Date of Interview 12/3		Pour R. N	ryers	But the state of the state of the
The marks of the stage of a finished with the	า สำเครสดเกรษาการกระจากรัฐ มหาการ (2.25) เร	rain de raige d e t ermination (1997) et d'implement de la company de		en de proposition de la company de la co La companya de la co
Type: Telephonic Persons	- うしきひとう アイカイ・ション としらびに	the state of the s	-	100
Exhibit shown or demonstration co	onducted: X Yes \ \ No \ If ye	s, brief description: <u>GRAPAS</u>	TIGURES	10/
		t to percent to the control of the c		
Agreement was reached.	was not reached.	 And Andrews (1998) and Andrews (1998)		
Identification of prior art discussed	HORDEH ET A	L 5,812,860,	TONENBA	Municipal Commission of the Co
	ur en el propositiones en en	and the second of the second o		
111111111111111111111111111111111111111			1	Age.
Description of the general nature of	of what was agreed to if an agre	ement was reached, or any other co	•	
HOW HORDEN STOPS V	He CLOCK Bothe	EN VOLTAGE PRANCE	TEANS AND	1 Loes NoTexed
INSTRUCTIONS DURPS	CHO VOLTAGE TRAN	ISTRONS COENTENG TO	Cocumy 5	LENG 3-10) THE EXA
and the second of the second o	and the same of the same	ce ho were Neep		
(A fuller description, if necessary,	and a copy of the amendments,	Examples Bokes To It available, which the examiner agreement would render the claims allowable in	reed would render	the claims allowable
1. It is not necessary for applica	ant to provide a separate record	of the substance of the interview.		and the second of the second o
IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCL	UDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE PLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MON	contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RES IE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Sectio ITH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE	n 713.04). If a resi	oonse to the last Office
2. Since the Examiner's interview	ew summary above (including a	ny attachments) reflects a complete	response to each	of the objections,
is considered to fulfill the res the interview unless box 1 at	ponse requirements of the last pove is also checked.	Office action, and since the claims a Office action. Applicant is not relieve	ed from providing	a separate record of
is considered to fulfill the res the interview unless box 1 at	ponse requirements of the last pove is also checked.	Office action. Applicant is not relieve	ed from providing a	R. A.

PAUL R. MYERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must Be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1.133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111,1.135. (35 U.S.C.132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Serial Number of the application
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- -An identification of the claims discussed
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

it is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form witl not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the interview Summary Form completed by the examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner,
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Stimmary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response, whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.